Mechanics	Free of spelling and grammatical errors Follows all rules of formal, scientific writing  (2) Relevant information about amphibian	<ul> <li>Few spelling and grammatical errors</li> <li>Few informalities</li> <li>Writing is generally scientific</li> </ul> (1) Information about amphibian migration and	<ul> <li>Numerous spelling and grammatical errors interfere with ability to understand the report</li> <li>Writing is consistently informal or unscientific (0)</li> <li>Information about amphibian</li> </ul>
introduction	migration and vernal pools is used to introduce the investigation  Level of detail is appropriate  Hypothesis and predictions are strong and clearly stated  (5)	vernal pools is included, but may not be clearly linked to the investigation  Few instances of irrelevant information  More detail may be necessary  Hypothesis and predictions are present  (4 - 3)	migration or vernal pools is lacking Insufficient detail or many instances of irrelevant information Hypothesis and predictions are omitted or weak (2 - 0)
Methods	<ul> <li>The method used for the investigation is clearly identified and described</li> <li>The source of data is correctly identified and the analysis of the data is correctly described</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The method used for the investigation is described with few errors or misconceptions</li> <li>The source of the data is identified and the analysis of the data is described with few errors or misconceptions</li> </ul>	Major errors or misconceptions are evident (2 - 0)
Results	<ul> <li>Results are clearly explained in words without repeating information evident in the figure</li> <li>Figure is used appropriately to convey information</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Results are clearly explained in words but may repeat some information from the figure.</li> <li>Some errors may exist in the figure.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Explanation only repeats         information that is already obvious         in the figure.</li> <li>Figure is not used appropriately or         contains major errors.         (2 - 0)</li> </ul>
Discussion	<ul> <li>Discusses whether or not predictions were fulfilled and whether or not the hypothesis was met</li> <li>Discusses the importance of the investigation to research on amphibian migration and/or climate change</li> <li>Makes thoughtful and reasonable suggestions for further study (5)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Discusses whether or not predictions were fulfilled and whether or not the hypothesis was met</li> <li>Discussion of the importance of the investigation may be weak</li> <li>Some suggestions for further study may be weak or unreasonable</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Initial hypothesis or predictions not discussed</li> <li>Insufficient discussion of the importance of the investigation</li> <li>No suggestions for further study</li> </ul>
References	<ul> <li>All sources are cited with parenthetical citations within the text</li> <li>References Cited list is complete and properly formatted</li> <li>Ideas are communicated in the voice of the student, not the original author</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Sources are cited within the text with few errors</li> <li>References Cited list contains few omissions or errors</li> <li>Ideas are communicated in the voice of the student, not the original author</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Sources are not cited</li> <li>References Cited list is incomplete or contains significant errors</li> <li>The original author's work is only rephrased or quoted</li> </ul>

Total: \_\_\_\_/ 25 points

Comments: